


Council Chair  
Mike White

Vice-Chair  
Robert Carroll

Presiding Officer Pro Tempore  
Stacy Crivello

Councilmembers  
Alika Atay  
Elle Cochran  
Don S. Guzman  
Riki Hokama  
Kelly T. King  
Yuki Lei K. Sugimura



Director of Council Services  
Sandy K. Baz

**COUNTY COUNCIL**  
COUNTY OF MAUI  
200 S. HIGH STREET  
WAILUKU, MAUI, HAWAII 96793  
www.MauiCounty.us

February 20, 2017

TO: Honorable Gil S.C. Keith-Agaran, Chair  
Senate Committee on Judiciary and Labor

FROM: Mike White  
Council Chair 

SUBJECT: **HEARING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2017; TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SB 478,  
RELATING TO GOVERNMENT RECORDS**

Thank you for the opportunity to testify in support of this important measure. The purpose of this bill is to amend the Sunshine Law to allow certain government records to be shared among public board members where no commitment relating to a vote on the matter is made or sought.

This measure is included in the 2017 Maui County Council Package. Therefore, I am providing this testimony on behalf of the Council.

I support this measure for the following reasons:

1. Under the Hawaii Supreme Court's 2013 decision in *Kanahele v. Maui County Council*, 130 Haw. 228 (2013), memoranda circulated among members of a "board" subject to the Sunshine Law may not be "permitted interactions" under Section 92-2.5, Hawaii Revised Statutes ("HRS"). Since the ruling, board members have been constrained from transmitting to each other public documents related to board business.
2. This measure would amend HRS Section 92-2.5 to allow board members to distribute certain **public documents** among themselves, so long as the transmittal does not include a commitment to vote on a matter to be considered by the board or a request for such a commitment.
3. This bill would increase government transparency and efficiency. Documents circulated among board members would be available for public disclosure and posting on the board's website. This would allow both board members and the public to better prepare for meetings. Members of the public have complained that receiving documents on the day of a meeting is unfair and does not afford them the appropriate time to incorporate any new material into their testimony.
4. This measure would put county councilmembers on equal footing with executive branch officials and special-interest groups, who under current law can freely disseminate information and documents to councilmembers and the public.

For the foregoing reasons, I **support** this measure.